



1

#### <u>Title</u>

#### OPTIMIZATION OF INTEGRATED WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT FOR AGRICULTURAL EFFICIENCY AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION IN THE NILE DELTA

#### <u>By</u> Amr Fleifle

Lecturer (Assistant Professor), Department of Irrigation Engineering and Hydraulics, Faculty of Engineering, Alexandria University, Egypt

#### 1. Introduction



.source: Global representation of the water stress index (Pfister et al., 2009)



#### Water Resources

#### **Non-conventional water resources**

#### **Desalinated seawater** Water harvesting









Nile River (55.5 BCM/y)



- Shallow G W. (6.2 BCM/y)
- **Deep G W. (1.3 BCM/y)** 
  - Rains (1.3 BCM/y)

# Water Uses

I	Demand:	Agricu	alture (84.5%)	<b>Domestic</b> (6%	<b>6)</b> Industry (9.5%)	
WQ standard for irrigation (Law 48/1982)						
C	OD total		80 mg/l			
D	$OD_5$ total $O$		40 mg/l			
T: N	SS O <sub>2</sub> -N		50 mg/l 50 mg/l			
F-coli 5×10 <sup>3</sup> MPN		V/100ml	× ×			
4.5 BCM			NO 1.	5-2 BCM	No records	ľ
			mixing			
	Reuse pu	imp station			Drain	
	1- Offic:	ial reuse	2- Unofficia	l reuse	3- Emergency reuse	



#### **Sources of Pollution in Drains**





#### 2. Simulation-Optimization Model for Intermediate Reuse of Agriculture Drainage Water in Egypt

# The criteria for selection Reuse locations

- The distance between the drain and canal where mixing occurs should not exceed 1 km;
- Mixing locations should be downstream from the current and future drinking water intakes;
- The mixing location should also be upstream from outflows of
- point source pollution, such as the wastewater treatment plants
- of factory outlets; and
- The quantity and quality of the drainage water should satisfy canal water quality criteria after mixing.







# Study area

#### **El-Qalaa basin**





#### **<u>1. Water quality simulation</u>**

#### **Export coefficient model**







#### **2. Optimization for waste load allocation**

## **Decision variables**

1. Treated quantities from nonpoint sources (Urban & Rural)





2. Treated quantities from point sources

3. Removal fractions for point and non-point sources

# **Objective functions**

Objective 1 (min. treatment cost)



Objective 2 Min. the difference of COD and TSS with the std

Main Stream

. ....



**Objective 3** 

Min. the difference of COD and TSS at the end with the std



#### ε-constraint method and GA Model



#### WLA results









Science of the Total Environment 536 (2015) 79-90



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

# Thank You For your Kind Attention